# Extraordinary Cabinet



Forest Heath District Council

Title:	Agenda		
Date:	Tuesday 11 October 2016		
Time:	6.00 pm		
Venue:	<b>Council Chamber</b> <b>District Offices</b> College Heath Road Mildenhall		
Membership:	Leader	James Waters	
	Deputy Leader	Robin Millar	
	<b>Councillor</b> David Bowman Stephen Edwards Andy Drummond Robin Millar Lance Stanbury	<b>Portfolio</b> Operations Resources and Performance Leisure and Culture Families and Communities Planning and Growth	
Interests – Declaration and Restriction on Participation:	Members are reminded of their responsibility to declare any disclosable pecuniary interest not entered in the Authority's register or local non pecuniary interest which they have in any item of business on the agenda (subject to the exception for sensitive information) and to leave the meeting prior to discussion and voting on an item in which they have a disclosable pecuniary interest.		
Quorum:	Three Members		
Committee administrator:	Sharon Turner Democratic Services Officer (Cabinet) Tel: 01638 719237 Email: <u>sharon.turner@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u>		

### **Public Information**



Forest Heath District Council

Venue:	District Offices	Tel: 01638 719000		
	College Heath Road	Email: <u>democratic.services@</u>		
	Mildenhall	westsuffolk.gov.uk		
	Suffolk, IP28 7EY	Web: www.westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Access to	Copies of the agenda	and reports are open for public inspection		
agenda and	at the above address.	. They are also available to view on our		
reports before	website.			
the meeting:				
Attendance at	The District Council actively welcomes members of the public			
meetings:	and the press to atter	nd its meetings and holds as many of its		
	meetings as possible in public.			
Public	Members of the public who live or work in the District are			
speaking:	invited to put one question or statement of not more than three			
	minutes duration relating to items to be discussed in Part 1 of			
	the agenda only. If a question is asked and answered within			
	three minutes, the person who asked the question may ask a			
	supplementary question that arises from the reply.			
	A person who wishes to speak must register at least 15 minutes			
	before the time the meeting is scheduled to start.			
		There is an overall time limit of 15 minutes for public speaking,		
		which may be extended at the Chairman's discretion.		
Disabled		on the first floor and is accessible via		
access:	stairs. There is not a lift but disabled seating is available at the			
	back of the Council Chamber on the ground floor. Please see			
	the Committee Administrator who will be able to help you.			
Induction	An Induction loop operates to enhance sound for anyone			
loop:		or using a transmitter.		
Recording of	-	rd this meeting and permits members of		
meetings:	the public and media to record or broadcast it as well (when the			
	media and public are not lawfully excluded).			
	Any member of the public who attends a meeting and objects to			
	being filmed should advise the Committee Administrator who			
	will instruct that they	are not included in the filming.		

#### Agenda

#### **Procedural Matters**

#### 1. Apologies for Absence

#### Part 1 - Public

#### 2. Open Forum

At each Cabinet meeting, up to 15 minutes shall be allocated for questions from and discussion with, non-Cabinet members. Members wishing to speak during this session should if possible, give notice in advance. Who speaks and for how long will be at the complete discretion of the person presiding.

#### **3.** Public Participation

Members of the public who live or work in the District are invited to put one question/statement of not more than three minutes duration relating to items to be discussed in Part 1 of the agenda only. If a question is asked and answered within three minutes, the person who asked the question may ask a supplementary question that arises from the reply.

A person who wishes to speak must register at least 15 minutes before the time the meeting is scheduled to start.

There is an overall time limit of 15 minutes for public speaking, which may be extended at the Chairman's discretion.

#### KEY DECISION

### 4. Hatchfield Farm: Secretary of State Decision - Next Steps 1 - 4 Report No: CAB/FH/16/042

Portfolio Holder: Lance Stanbury

Lead Officer: Steven Wood

This page is intentionally left blank

## Extraordinary Cabinet



Forest Heath District Council

Title of Report:	Hatchfield Farm: Secretary of State Decision – Next Steps			
Report No:	CAB/FH/16/042			
Report to and date:	Extraordinary Cabinet	11 October 2016		
Portfolio holder:	Lance Stanbury Portfolio Holder for Planning and Growth <b>Tel:</b> 07970 947704 <b>Email</b> : <u>lance.stanbury@forest-heath.gov.uk</u>			
Lead officer:	Steven Wood Head of Planning and Growth Tel: 01284 757306 Email: <u>steven.wood@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u>			
Purpose of report:	To consider whether to challenge the decision of the Secretary of State in relation to the Hatchfield Farm application.			
Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that Cabinet resolves to decide whether to pursue a High Court challenge to the Hatchfield Farm planning decision.			
<b>Key Decision:</b> (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that <u>do not</u> apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - ⊠ No, it is not a Key Decision - □ (a) A key decision means an executive decision			
	<ul> <li>which, pending any further guidance from the Secretary of State, is likely to:</li> <li>(i) be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area in the Borough/District</li> </ul>			
Consultation:	the Hatc planning	consultation has taken place on hfield application as part of the and appeal process		
Alternative option(s):• As contained within this Report				

Implications:			
Are there any <b>fina</b>	ncial implications?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆	
If yes, please give details		<ul> <li>Any legal challenge at High Court level would have significant financial costs</li> </ul>	
<i>Are there any <b>staffing</b> implications?</i> <i>If yes, please give details</i>		<ul> <li>Yes ⊠ No □</li> <li>Potential impact on planning policy resourcing if pursuing a legal challenge</li> </ul>	
<i>Are there any <b>ICT</b> implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes 🗆 No 🛛	
Are there any <b>legal and/or policy</b> <i>implications? If yes, please give</i> <i>details</i>		<ul> <li>Yes ⊠ No □</li> <li>The NPPF requires the local planning authority to produce an up to date Local Plan – a High Court challenge may delay the production of this plan</li> <li>A High Court challenge to the Hatchfield decision may be submitted by a third party, a matter which is beyond the Council's control.</li> </ul>	
Are there any <b>equa</b> If yes, please give		Yes 🗆 No 🗵	
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives) Failure to produce an up-to-date Local Plan supported by a sound evidence base may result in an unsound development Local Plan or a legal challenge.	
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	<b>Residual risk</b> (after controls)
Cost of a High Court challenge	High	Ensure that the cost to the council remains proportionate and kept under review throughout the process	Medium
Significant public opposition. Local Plan documents have the potential to be highly contentious. Whilst every effort will be made to build cross-community consensus, there is a high risk of significant public opposition.	High	Clear communications, from councillors and council staff, will be needed to explain the basis on which a decision is taken. Every effort would also be taken to engage residents throughout the district in the next	High

Legal challenge. As a measure of last resort anyone affected may issue a legal challenge within six weeks of adoption of the Local Plan.	High	stage of the draft Local Plan consultation, starting early next year. Officers will continue to seek to ensure that local plan documents are prepared within the legal framework in order to reduce the risk of successful legal challenge.	Medium
Ward(s) affected:		All Ward/s	
<b>Background papers:</b> (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)		Secretary of State's decision on Hatchfield Farm Decision by the Secretary of State - c	
Documents attached:		None	

#### 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

#### 1.1 Hatchfield Farm Secretary of State decision – next steps

1.1.1 On 31 August 2016, Forest Heath District Council was informed of the Secretary of State's refusal to grant planning permission for up to 400 dwellings plus associated open space (including areas of habitat enhancement), foul and surface water infrastructure, two accesses onto the A142, internal footpaths, cycle routes and estate roads at Hatchfield Farm, Fordham Road, Newmarket.

As the Local Planning Authority which originally resolved to approve the application, the council, through the executive Cabinet function, could choose to challenge the Secretary of State's decision in the High Court six weeks from the day after the decision (i.e. by 12 October 2016). Before taking that decision, Cabinet members need to consider carefully the potential impacts on residents of Newmarket and the surrounding areas, potential impact on the area's local economy, and costs to the district's council tax payers.

The decision may be challenged by making an application for permission to the High Court under s288 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. Any person aggrieved by the decision may question the validity of the decision on the grounds that it is not within the powers of the Act or that any of the relevant requirements have not been complied with in relation to the decision. The announcement on 30 September 2016 that Lord Derby will, in partnership with Rural Parish Alliance, challenge the Secretary of State's decision in the High Court is noted.

Following this announcement, legal advice on the options available in terms of pursuing a challenge is being sought. The options are broadly:

- To not pursue a challenge;
- To pursue a challenge and consider the implications of each option for challenge

Cabinet will be updated on the legal advice in respect of the above either before or during the meeting on 11 October.

Cabinet are requested to decide whether to pursue a High Court challenge to the Secretary of State decision in relation to Hatchfield Farm, taking into account the outcomes of the legal advice being sought.